

RUBRIC TO ASSESS A DIGITAL MAP

ITEMS	5 Excellent	3-4 (Quite) good	2 Need improvement	1 Low performance
TAG ACCURACY	At least 90% of the map's specific features are tagged and located correctly.	89%-75% of the map's specific features are tagged and located correctly.	74%-61% of the map's specific features are tagged and located correctly.	Less than 60% of the map's specific features are tagged and located correctly.
SPELLING / PUNCTUATION	95%-100% of the map's words are spelled correctly.	94%-75% of the map's words are spelled correctly.	74%-61% of the map's words are spelled correctly.	Less than 60% of the map's words are spelled correctly.
TAGS & CLARITY OF FEATURES	90-100% of the specific map features can be read easily.	80-75% of the specific map features can be read easily.	74-61% of the specific map features can be read easily.	Less than 60% of the specific map features can be read easily.
MULTIMEDIA RESOURCES	The map includes images, videos, and links in location markers.	The map includes images and links in location markers.	The map includes images in location markers.	The map only shows text in location markers.
CONTENTS	The map presents all the towns with their inhabitants' number, information about what is what done to be sus- tainable cities, advantages they had, and disadvantages found.	The map presents 8 towns with their inhabitants' num- ber, information about what is what done to be sustainable cities, advantages they had, and disadvantages found.	The map presents 5 towns with their inhabitants' num- ber, information about what is what done to be sustain- able cities, advantages they had, and disadvantages found.	The map presents less than 5 towns with their inhabitants' number, information about what is what done to be sus- tainable cities, advantages they had, and disadvantages found.



Rubric to assess a "digital map" by Cedec is licensed under a <u>Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International License</u>.